Leeds
CITY COUNCIL

## Report of Assistant Chief Executive, Customer Access and Performance

## Report to Inner East Area Committee

Date: $\mathbf{2 0}^{\text {th }}$ June 2013

## Subject: 2011 Census Results

| Are specific electoral Wards affected? | $\boxed{\text { Yes }}$If relevant, name(s) of Ward(s): | $\square$ No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Burmantofts and Richmond Hill <br> Gipton and Harehills <br> Killingbeck and Seacroft |  |  |
| Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and <br> integration? | $\boxed{\text { Yes }}$ | $\square$ No |
| Is the decision eligible for Call-In? | $\boxed{\text { Yes }}$ | $\square$ No |
| Does the report contain confidential or exempt information? <br> If relevant, Access to Information Procedure Rule number: <br> Appendix number: | $\square$ Yes | $\boxed{\text { No }}$ |

## Summary of main issues

1. The Census, which is undertaken every ten years, provides a count of the population in
local areas and establishes the base from which subsequent mid-year population estimates are produced. It provides the basis for central and local government, health
authorities and many other organisations to target their resources and to plan housing, education, employment, health, transport and other services for years to come.
2. The Census is a vital planning tool for both the public and private sectors and the data that is derived from it is an essential element in intelligence led decision making. The data helps to build a comprehensive picture of conditions in localities and helps identify the critical issues facing neighbourhoods. Indices based on Census data are widely used as indicators of deprivation which are then used extensively to target areas of greatest need.
3. The Census also establishes a new baseline for much of the city's equality and diversity data and will provide an opportunity to re-assess the extent inequalities across the city.
4. An analysis of the data has been published as "Leeds: The Big Picture". This provides a summary of the city-wide results from the 2011 Census and, where possible, includes comparisons to the 2001 Census. This document is available on the Leeds

Observatory under the "Resources and Documents" section (http://www.westyorkshireobservatory.org/Leeds)
5. Individual profiles, in the same format as the Leeds: Big Picture, have been produced for Area Committees and for electoral wards. These documents are also available on the Leeds Observatory.
6. This report highlights some of the main findings from the 2011 Census for the Inner East area (there are some significant differences between the constituent wards), but most notably:

- At the Area Committee level, Inner East has the highest proportion of children and young people in the city
- There is an increasing diversity within the population, with the BME population increasing from 20\% in 2001 to $38.6 \%$ in 2011 (at the Area Committee level, Inner East has the highest proportion of people from BME communities, with Gipton and Harehills having the highest proportion at the ward level)
- The number of residents born outside of the UK has more than doubled from 7,616 in 2001 to 16,674 in 2011
- An increase in the number of households renting from the private sector
- At the Area Committee level, Inner East has the highest proportion of lone parents, with Gipton and Harehills having the highest proportion at the ward level
- $37.1 \%$ of adults in the area have no qualifications, compared to $23.2 \%$ across the city as a whole
- Although there has been a fall in the number of people reporting limiting longterm illness in the area from 15,673 in 2001 to 14,646 in 2011, Inner East has the highest proportion of people with a limiting long-term illness, with Killingbeck and Seacroft having the highest proportion at ward level


## Recommendations

7. The Area Committee is asked to discuss the emerging issues and to consider how it can use the data to inform its neighbourhood improvement strategies.
1.1 The purpose of this report is to:

- Provide the Inner East Area Committee with a summary of the results from the 2011 Census; and
- To highlight some of the issues emerging from the 2011 Census


## 2 Background information

2.1 The Census is completed every ten years and is the largest piece of social research undertaken in the country. It tells us how many people live where and provides valuable information on the make-up of local communities. It captures the defining characteristics of the population: who we are; how we live; and what we do. It is unique because it is the only information source that captures all these characteristics across the whole population.
2.2 The last Census took place on 27 March 2011. It was conducted on a resident basis, and the statistics relate to where people usually live, rather than where they were on Census night. Students who were studying away from home during the term were enumerated at their term-time address.
2.3 The Census asks questions about work, health, national identity, citizenship, ethnic background, education, second homes, language, religion, marital status and so on. These statistics are then used to build a picture of our society. The Census is important because it provides the basis for central and local government, health authorities and many other organisations to target their resources more effectively and to plan housing, education, employment, health, and transport services for years to come, e.g.

- Data about the age and make-up of the population, and on their health enables organisations to plan and fund health and social services;
- Housing information highlights where accommodation is inadequate and helps in planning new housing;
- Economic data helps both public and private sectors to plan employment and training policies and to decide where to locate or expand their businesses;
- Information about travel to and from work and car ownership highlights the pressures on transport systems and how road and public transport could respond to meet local needs;
- Information about ethnic groups helps central and local government to plan and fund initiatives to meet the needs of these minority groups and to address inequalities;
- Census statistics helps research organisations to decide how, when and where to capture representative samples.


## 3 Main issues

3.1 The Area Committee profile provides a factual analysis of the data produced from the 2011 Census. It compares the data for area to the averages for the city as a whole and, where possible, includes comparisons to information from the 2001 Census. The profile also provides a selection of graphs and charts comparing the
data for the three constituent wards in Inner East (some of which have been included in this report).

### 3.2 Key findings

### 3.2.1 Population

- The Census shows that there are 75,670 people living in the area
- The population has increased by just over 4,000 people (5.6\%) between 2001 and 2011, broadly the same level of increase as for the city as a whole (5\%)
- Children and young people (aged 0-15 years) account for 24.9\% of the population, higher than the city average of 18.3\%
- There are lower proportions of people in the age bands from 45 years upwards than is average for the city (31.3\% compared to 37.6\%)
- At the Area Committee level, Inner East has the highest proportion of children and young people in the city, with Gipton and Harehills having the highest proportion at the ward level



### 3.2.2 Diversity

- $38.6 \%$ of the population in the area are from Black and Ethnic Minority (BME) communities (more than double the city BME rate of 18.9\%)
- The BME population in the area has increased from $20 \%$ in 2001
- With 6,273 people ( $8.3 \%$ of the total population) the Pakistani community is the largest "single" BME community in the area
- At the Area Committee level, Inner East has the highest proportion of people from BME communities, with Gipton and Harehills having the highest proportion at the ward level

- The number of residents born outside of the UK has more than doubled from $7,616(10.6 \%$ of the population) in 2001 to 16,674 (22.1\%) in 2011, with 3,680 people being born in the EU and 12,994 born elsewhere
- $65.3 \%$ of people born outside the UK arrived in the last 10 years (higher than the rate for Leeds as a whole)
- $67.3 \%$ of foreign born usual residents were between the ages of 16 and 44 when they arrived in the UK and $28.5 \%$ were aged 15 or younger

Percentage of resident population born outside the UK and arriving in this country between 2001 and 2011


- In 3,484 households there were no residents who spoke English as a main language
- $51.1 \%$ of people in the area are Christian (lower than the city average of 55.9\%)
- $15.3 \%$ of people in the area are Muslim (higher than the city average of 5.4\%)
- $1.1 \%$ of people are Sikh (city averages is $1.2 \%$ )
- No other faith group in the area accounts for more than $0.7 \%$ of the population


### 3.2.3 Housing

There are 32,244 occupied households in the area, of which:

- $35.1 \%$ are "owner occupied" (much lower than the city rate of $58.6 \%$ ), and the level of owner occupation has fallen from $37.9 \%$ in 2001
- $62.7 \%$ of households in the area are rented (this has stayed broadly the same over the decade), and is well above the city average of 39.9\%
- The proportion of households that are renting from the local authority (through an ALMO) has fallen from 41.3\% in 2001 to 35.5\% in 2011
- The proportion renting from a housing association or other RSL has increased from $7.6 \%$ in 2001 to $9.1 \%$ in 2011, while the proportion renting from the private sector has increased from $13.2 \%$ to $18.1 \%$
- At the Area Committee level, Inner East has the highest proportion of households renting from a social landlord



### 3.2.4 Household Composition

- The number of single person households in the area has increased from $35.7 \%$ in 2001 to $38.3 \%$ in 2011 (compared to a city rate of 33.3\% in 2011)
- $15.1 \%$ of households consist of pensioners only (compared to $19.1 \%$ for the city), and of the 4,877 pensioner only households in the area 3,586 are occupied by a lone person ( $11.1 \%$ of all households in the area)
- 5,636 households in the area (17.5\%) are headed by a lone parent, much higher than the city average of 10.9\%
- The proportion of lone parent households has increased from $16.4 \%$ in 2001
- At the Area Committee level, Inner East has the highest proportion of lone parents, with Gipton and Harehills having the highest proportion at the ward level


### 3.2.5 Economic Activity and Qualifications

- $65.4 \%$ of people in the area aged 16-74 are economically active, lower than the city rate of $69.5 \%$, and the breakdown is different with the area having higher proportions of part-time employees and unemployed people
- At the time of the Census 4,886 people in the area were unemployed $(9.3 \%$ of all people aged $16-74$ ), compared to a city rate of $4.8 \%$
- $37.1 \%$ of adults in the area have no qualifications, compared to $23.2 \%$ across the city as a whole


### 3.2.6 Transport

- $53.5 \%$ of households in the area have no car or van, compared to a city rate of 32.1\%
- At the Area Committee level, Inner East has the highest proportion of households without access to a car or van
- Driving a car or van is still the most popular method of travelling to work with $23.5 \%$ of people in the area (aged 16-74) choosing this method (compared to a city rate of $35.9 \%$ ), but at the Area Committee level, Inner East has the highest proportion of people travelling to work using public transport


### 3.2.7 Health and Wellbeing

- There has been a fall in the number of people reporting limiting long-term illness in the area from 15,673 in 2001 to 14,646 in 2011
- 6,511 people in the area ( $8.6 \%$ of the total population) are providers of unpaid care, with 2,030 people providing care for 50 or more hours per week
- At the Area Committee level, Inner East has the highest proportion of people with a limiting long-term illness, with Killingbeck and Seacroft having the highest proportion at ward level


## 4 Corporate Considerations

### 4.1 Consultation and Engagement

4.1.1 This is an information report that provides commentary and analysis on data that has been published by the Office for National Statistics and is already in the public domain. Consultation evidence is therefore not required.

### 4.2 Equality and Diversity / Cohesion and Integration

4.2.1 The Census establishes a new baseline for much of the city's equality and diversity data. There is an acknowledged link between deprivation and many of the equality groups and the Census will provide an opportunity to assess progress over the last 10 years. The data will support the annual Equality and Diversity Position Statement that is produced alongside the State of the City report.
4.2.2 The Intelligence and Improvement Team will also be producing a detailed analysis of the 2011 Census data relating to both BME and faith communities across the city.

### 4.3 Council policies and City Priorities

4.3.1 The Census is important as it provides the basis for central and local government, health authorities and many other organisations to target their resources more effectively and to plan housing, education, employment, health, and transport services for years to come.

### 4.4 Resources and value for money

4.4.1 There are no resources or value for money issues.

### 4.5 Legal Implications, Access to Information and Call In

4.5.1 There are no legal implications.

### 4.6 Risk Management

4.6.1 There is a risk that failure to adequately consider the implications of the Census data will impact on our ability to bring about improved outcomes for communities.

## 5 Conclusions

5.1 This report highlights some of the key findings to emerge from the 2011 Census and begins to identify some of the possible implications for services. The quality and accessibility of intelligence about the city is now more important than ever in the context of significant reductions in funding across the public sector. The way in which data, analytical and research resources might work more closely together in the future to understand issues affecting Leeds and to help inform the way in which these issues are addressed continues to be a topic for exploration and debate.

## 6 Recommendations

6.1 The Area Committee is asked to discuss the emerging issues and to consider how it can use the data to inform its neighbourhood improvement strategies.

## 7 Background documents ${ }^{1}$

7.1 None.

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The background documents listed in this section are available to download from the Council's website, unless they contain confidential or exempt information. The list of background documents does not include published works.

